

R-RESEARCH LTD

Cranbrook House 287-291, Banbury Road, Oxford, OX2 7JQ, UK
+44 7947 350579 | office@r-research.net | www.r-research.net



TECHNICAL REPORT

YOUTH SURVEY

Region: Armenia

Prepared for Friedrich Ebert Stiftung (FES)

Summer 2022

Version 310822

©R-Research Ltd., 2022

Table of Contents

BACKGROUND INFORMATION.....	3
SAMPLE DESIGN AND INTERVIEWING PROCEDURES:	3
SAMPLE OVERVIEW	3
SAMPLE STRUCTURE (STAGES)	3
METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION.....	4
MODE OF DATA COLLECTION	4
SUMMARY.....	5
DESIGN DETAILS.....	6
STRATIFICATION CRITERIA.....	6
STAGE I: REGION (STRATA 1).....	6
STAGE II: TYPE OF LOCATION (STRATA 2)	6
STAGE III: SELECTING PRIMARY SAMPLING UNITS (PSU).....	7
SAMPLE.....	8
STAGE IV: SELECTING RANDOM ROUTES IN URBAN PSU AND VILLAGES IN RURAL PSU	9
STAGE V: HOUSEHOLD SELECTION.....	10
STAGE VI: RESPONDENT SELECTION	10
STANDARD CRITERIA OF RESPONDENT'S SELECTION:.....	11
RULE OF HOUSEHOLD SUBSTITUTION:	11
RECODING NON-RESPONSE	11
QUALITY CONTROL.....	11
FIELDWORK CONTROL	11
DATA CONTROL	12
DATA PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS	12
WEIGHTING.....	12
QUESTIONNAIRE	12
CHECKING FOR SENSITIVE ISSUES, TRANSLATION AND BACK-TRANSLATION	12
PRE-TEST OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE	13
THE FINAL FIELDWORK VERSION OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE	13
ACHIEVED SAMPLE.....	14
STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES	15
STRENGTHS.....	15
WEAKNESSES	15
COVID-19 EFFECT.....	16
FIELDWORK CHARACTERISTICS.....	16
FIELD-FORCE	16
INTERVIEW LENGTH.....	16
FIELDWORK DETAILS:.....	17
NATIONAL SAMPLE	17
YEREVAN	17
WESTERN.....	17
CENTRAL.....	17
EASTERN.....	18
NORTHERN	18
SOUTHERN	18

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Friedrich Ebert Stiftung (FES) commissioned R-Research Ltd to conduct a youth opinion survey in Armenia in summer 2022. The fieldwork was conducted during the period of June 19, 2022 – August 16, 2022.

A nationally representative sample of 1200 respondents aged 14-29 was targeted and 1200 fully completed interviews were collected, resulting in a sampling error of +/-2.5 percent. All respondents were randomly selected using random methods described below. All interviewers, quality controllers, and data processing operators were fully trained full-time local staff who has worked in social and marketing research at least 3 year.

SAMPLE DESIGN AND INTERVIEWING PROCEDURES:

SAMPLE OVERVIEW

The sample's universe included all non-institutionalised nationals of Armenia (both males and females) aged 14-29.

Sample frame: The Demographic Handbook of Armenia 2021 which is most up-to-date statistical data available on Statistical Committee of the Republic of Armenia website:

<https://www.armstat.am/en/?module=publications&mid=6&id=2446>

A stratified (two strata: region and type of locality) quota sample with PPS (probability proportional to size) selection of PSU (primary sampling units): urban settlements / municipal districts in large cities and rural districts, and random route (TSU) of households' selection and quota-based respondents' selection within households was utilized.

SAMPLE STRUCTURE (STAGES)

Stage I: Stratification by five Macro-regions: Centre, West, North, East, South and Yerevan.

Stage II: Distribution of interviews in proportion to urban and rural population aged 14-29 across Macro-regions.

Stage III: Selection of PSUs: urban settlements (districts in Yerevan) and rural municipalities using the PPS method; set number of interviews for PPS purposes - 15 (at least 15 interviews in each PSU). In order to enable PPS selection lists of all urban settlements (districts in Yerevan) and rural municipalities with their population sizes separately for each Macro-region were compiled.

Stage IV: Selection of random routes in urban PSUs and random selection of villages in each rural PSU. In urban PSUs, the number of random routes equals the number of allocated interviews divided by 5. Random routes are selected among all streets that do not cross in selected urban PSUs. In rural PSUs, the number of villages to select equals the number of allocated interviews divided by 5. Villages are selected randomly among all villages in selected rural PSUs.

The villages were selected randomly in the given areas in accordance with the following 2 criteria: (1) the size of the distance between the Centre¹ and the village (**a. Big** (22.1 km in average), **b. Medium** (14.3 km in average), **c. Small** (9.4km in average) and (2) the number of the population (**a. Small** (1416 in average), **b. Medium** (2426 in average), **c. Large** (4220 in average)). Overall, 32 villages were selected in each subgroup.

Stage VI: Selection of households on a random route: no more than 5 households on one route, in one selected village. In urban PSUs, the selection of households begins from a starting point (SP) which is a randomly selected address on each selected random route. In rural PSUs, the selection begins from a landmark (bus station, school, post office) in each selected village.

Stage VI: Selection of respondents in households by gender*age quotas (M / F * 14-17 / 18-24 / 25-29). Only one respondent can be selected in one household. 3 calls-back are required before moving to the next household.

The survey was conducted in a total of 80 PSUs of which 48 were urban and 32 were rural PSUs.

There were no exclusions from the sample, aside from those individuals outside of the age range.

See document: AM_Youth survey_sample_1200_020622.xlsx for more information.

METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION

Raw data was collected by means of personal interviewing at respondents' homes.

MODE OF DATA COLLECTION

Data was collected using CAPI (computer assisted personal interviewing).

¹ The distance differs from the mentioned average size for those regions, where the villages are located far from each other

SUMMARY

ARMENIA: SAMPLING PLAN

PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT (PSU) = URBAN SETTLEMENT (CITY, TOWN, MUNICIPAL DISTRICT IN YEREVAN) OR RURAL DISTRICT



DESIGN DETAILS

STRATIFICATION CRITERIA

The following criteria were used for the stratification of the universe (in order of application):

Strata 1: Macro-regions (as aggregates of regions).

Strata 2: Type of settlement (urban / rural).

STAGE I: REGION (STRATA 1)

Armenia was divided into six Macro-Regions which are aggregates of 10 regions and Yerevan (capital), as follows:

Table 1. Macro-Regions and Regions

#	Macro-Region	#	Region
1	Yerevan	1	C. Yerevan
2	Center	3	Ararat
2	Center	4	Armavir
2	Center	2	Aragatsotn
2	Center	7	Kotayk
3	West	8	Shirak
4	East	5	Gegharkunik
4	North	6	Lori
5	North	11	Tavush
6	South	9	Syunik
6	South	10	Vayots Dzor

All Macro-Regions were included with certainty. We allocated all interviews according to the percentage of population aged 14-29 in each Macro-Region.

STAGE II: TYPE OF LOCATION (STRATA 2)

Each Macro-Region was stratified by type of location (Urban / Rural), with the sample allocated in proportion to the urban –rural population.

Stratification (Stages I and II) produces the following allocation of interviews:

Table 2. Population (2021) and Sample Proportional Distribution by Macro-Regions.

#	Macro-regions	Population: N (or in thousands)			Sample (proportional)		
		Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
1	Yerevan	199.1	199.1	0.0	401	401	0
2	Centre	191.2	62.6	128.7	385	126	259
3	West	48.4	27.7	20.7	98	56	42
4	North	68.5	34.6	33.9	138	70	68
5	East	51.2	13.3	37.9	103	27	76
6	South	37.0	19.9	17.1	75	40	35
	Armenia(14-29)	595.4	357.2	238.2	1200	720	480

STAGE III: SELECTING PRIMARY SAMPLING UNITS (PSU)

We set 15 interviews per PSU to facilitate the PPS selection of PSU within Macro-Regions. PSUs in urban areas are cities and municipal districts in Yerevan. PSUs in rural areas are rural districts. Therefore, we need to select $1200/15 = \text{ca. } 80$ PSUs of which 60% or 48 (rounded) are urban PSUs and 30% or 32 (rounded) are rural PSUs. We allocate PSUs to Macro-Regions proportionally to their population size, as follows:

Table 3. The distribution of PSUs across Macro-Regions and types of location (urban/rural).

#	Macro-regions	Sample (proportional)			n/PSU	PSU		
		Total	Urban	Rural		Total	Urban	Rural
1	Yerevan	401	401	0	15	26	26	0
2	Centre	385	126	259	60	26	9	17
3	West	98	56	42	15	7	4	3
4	North	138	70	68	30	9	4	5
5	East	103	27	76	15	7	2	5
6	South	75	40	35	30	5	3	2
	Armenia (14-29)	1200	720	480	165	80	48	32

Assuming that we conduct 15 interviews in each PSU, the interviews were allocated to regions and types of settlements (urban / rural) as follows:

Table 4. Sample distribution across Macro-Regions and types of location (urban/rural).

#	Macro-regions	Sample (proportional)			Sample (based on PSU)		
		Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
1	Yerevan	401	401	0	390	390	0
2	Centre	385	126	259	390	135	255
3	West	98	56	42	105	60	45
4	North	138	70	68	135	60	75
5	East	103	27	76	105	30	75
6	South	75	40	35	75	45	30
	Armenia (14-29)	1200	720	480	1200	720	480

The sample distribution based on PSUs slightly differs from that based on proportional distribution, however, it allows for selecting respondents with equal probability using PPS method from each Macro-Region.

In fact, the following distribution of interviews has been achieved.

Table 5. Targeted and achieved sample at the level of Macro-Regions.

#	Macro-regions	Sample (Targeted)			Sample (Achieved)			Difference (T-A)		
		Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
1	Yerevan	390	390	0	390	390	0	0	0	0
2	Centre	390	135	255	390	135	255	0	0	0
3	West	105	60	45	105	60	45	0	0	0
4	North	135	60	75	135	60	75	0	0	0
5	East	105	30	75	106	30	76	-1	0	-1
6	South	75	45	30	74	45	29	1	0	1
	Armenia (14-29)	1200	720	480	1200	720	480	0	0	0

SAMPLE

Table 6. Urban PSUs selected by PPS within Macro-Regions; targeted and achieved sample distribution across urban PSUs.

Macro-Region	Region	Urban PSU	Population (total)	PSU	N per PSU	Total N		T-A
						Target	Achieved	
Yerevan	Yerevan	Yerevan: Adjapnyak	110500	3	15	45	45	0
Yerevan	Yerevan	Yerevan: Avan	53200	1	15	15	14	1
Yerevan	Yerevan	Yerevan: Arabkir	115200	3	15	45	46	-1
Yerevan	Yerevan	Yerevan: Davidashen	43100	1	15	15	15	0
Yerevan	Yerevan	Yerevan: Erebuni	130000	3	15	45	47	-2
Yerevan	Yerevan	Yerevan: Kentron	126200	3	15	30	30	0
Yerevan	Yerevan	Yerevan: Malatia-Sebastia	140600	3	15	45	43	2
Yerevan	Yerevan	Yerevan: Nor Nork	140600	3	15	45	46	-1
Yerevan	Yerevan	Yerevan: Nork-Marash	11900	1	15	15	15	0
Yerevan	Yerevan	Yerevan: Shengavit	141900	3	15	45	44	1
Yerevan	Yerevan	Yerevan: Kanaker-Zeytun	74900	2	15	30	30	0
Yerevan	Yerevan	Yerevan: Nubrashen	10.200	1	15	15	15	0
Centre	Ararat	Ararat	20407	1	15	15	15	0
Centre	Ararat	Masis	20667	1	15	15	15	0
Centre	Ararat	Vedi	11758	1	15	15	15	0
Centre	Armavir	Armavir	27680	1	15	15	15	0
Centre	Armavir	Vagharshapat	46388	1	15	15	15	0
Centre	Kotayk	Abovyan	44986	1	15	15	15	0
Centre	Kotayk	Byureghavan	9088	1	15	15	15	0
Centre	Kotayk	Hrazdan	39868	1	15	15	15	0
Centre	Kotayk	Charentsavan	20349	1	15	15	15	0
West	Shirak	Gyumry	112108	3	15	45	45	0
West	Shirak	Artik	17702	1	15	15	15	0
North	Lori	Vanadzor	76860	2	15	30	30	0
North	Lori	Tashir	7256	1	15	15	15	0
North	Tavush	Dilijan	17158	1	15	15	15	0
East	Gegharkunik	Martuni	11428	1	15	15	13	2
East	Gegharkunik	Sevan	18959	1	15	15	17	-2
South	Vayots Dzor	Vayk	5508	1	15	15	16	-1
South	Syunik	Kapan	41941	1	15	15	15	0
South	Syunik	Goris	19956	1	15	15	14	1
Total			1658177	49	465	720	720	-

Table 7. Rural PSUs selected by PPS within Macro-Regions; targeted and achieved sample distribution across rural PSUs.

Macro-Region	Region	Rural PSU	Population (total)	PSU	N per PSU	Total N		T-A
						Target	Achieved	
Centre	Aragatsotn	Ashtarak	55595	1	15	15	15	0
Centre	Ararat	Artashat	74342	2	15	30	30	0
Centre	Ararat	Ararat	64445	2	15	30	31	-1
Centre	Ararat	Masis	63436	2	15	30	25	5
Centre	Armavir	Ejmiatsin	98963	3	15	45	45	0
Centre	Armavir	Armavir	82474	2	15	30	30	0
Centre	Armavir	Baghramyan	22571	1	15	15	20	-5
Centre	Kotayk	Kotayk	61276	2	15	30	27	3
Centre	Kotayk	Nairi/ Yeghvard	42772	1	15	15	17	-2
Centre	Kotayk	Hrazdan	22896	1	15	15	15	0
West	Shirak	Axuryan	43415	1	15	15	15	0
West	Shirak	Artic	34306	1	15	15	15	0
West	Shirak	Ashotsk	11108	1	15	15	15	0
North	Lori	Gugark	25242	1	15	15	14	1
North	Lori	Spitak	27196	1	15	15	16	-1
North	Lori	Tumanyan	23505	1	15	15	15	0
North	Tavush	Ijevan	25795	1	15	15	15	0
North	Tavush	Taush	17703	1	15	15	15	0
East	Gegharkunik	Martuni	77323	2	15	30	30	0
East	Gegharkunik	Gavar	33859	1	15	15	16	-1
East	Gegharkunik	Vardenis	23276	1	15	15	15	0
East	Gegharkunik	Sevan	21084	1	15	15	15	0
South	Vayots Dzor	Eghegnadzor	27899	1	15	15	15	0
South	Vayots Dzor	Vayk	7341	1	15	15	14	1
Total			987822	32	360	480	480	-

STAGE IV: SELECTING RANDOM ROUTES IN URBAN PSU AND VILLAGES IN RURAL PSU

On each random route / in each village only 4-6 (target 5) interviews are allowed. Therefore, in each urban PSU the number of random routes equals the number of interviews in that PSU divided by the number of target interviews (5) on one random route. In rural PSU, we select $n \times 3$ villages in each.

Method of selection of urban random routes

Starting points (SPs) for random routes were randomly selected addresses in urban PSUs. Systematic Random Sampling is used, to which the following actions have been done:

1. The addresses for each PSUs are sorted
2. Starting from a random number, every K-th address is selected until the requested number of SP is selected

K is calculated by dividing the total number of addresses by the number of SPs needed. Then, beginning from SPs, random routes are drawn so they do not cross. At least three random routes were established in each urban PSU.

Method of selection of villages in rural PSUs

In each rural PSU, three villages were randomly selected from all available villages.

Starting points (SPs) within villages are defined as locations with sufficient public presence to be known by local residents, such as bus stops (mainly), schools, etc. These most central one of these locations is selected, and a random walk proceeds from the landmark. First dwelling on the street is selected in the village as the first address to approach.

STAGE V: HOUSEHOLD SELECTION

Target was set of selecting 5 households per one random route / one village.

Five step interval was used between households if interview was successful and one step interval was used otherwise.

STAGE VI: RESPONDENT SELECTION

Respondent selection in each household was conducted according to the quotas (gender & age).

The following quotas were set at the level of Macro-Region:

Table 8. Quota targets at the level of macro-Regions.

#	Macro-regions	Urban						Total
		M14-17	M18-24	M25-29	F14-17	F18-24	F25-29	
1	Yerevan	49	79	69	44	71	78	390
2	Centre	20	26	21	18	26	24	135
3	West	8	11	11	7	11	12	60
4	North	9	12	9	8	12	10	60
5	East	4	6	5	4	6	5	30
6	South	6	9	7	6	9	8	45
	Armenia (14-29)	96	143	122	87	135	137	720

#	Macro-regions	Rural						Total
		M14-17	M18-24	M25-29	F14-17	F18-24	F25-29	
1	Yerevan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Centre	32	52	48	27	46	50	255
3	West	6	8	8	5	8	10	45
4	North	10	15	12	10	15	13	75
5	East	10	15	14	8	14	14	75
6	South	4	6	5	4	6	5	30
	Armenia (14-29)	62	96	87	54	89	92	480

Interviewers were given quota targets and then regional team supervisors checked the quota completed against targets to avoid mismatches between targets & completes, at the end of each day. At least 3 calls-back were made in attempt to reach eligible respondents before moving to the next household.

Once the household was selected, its member was asked about the age/gender of each household member. If one family member met quota, she/he was asked to be interviewed. If

two or more family members were eligible for the interview, the last birthday method was used to select one respondent. No substitutions were allowed within households.

STANDARD CRITERIA OF RESPONDENT'S SELECTION:

- Aged 14-29 and fits quotas;
- Agreed to participate;

The following persons were not interviewed

- Interviewer's relatives or acquaintances
- People who know each other, or who are relatives
- Guests, friends, etc. of the flat/house owner
- Those living in the hostels of any type
- Patients at hospitals, sanatoriums etc
- People, who don't speak in Armenian

RULE OF HOUSEHOLD SUBSTITUTION:

If after 3 calls-back the respondent was not contacted or refused to participate then the interviewer moved to n+1 address (where n- the previously selected address)

No substitution of the selected respondents within households was allowed.

RECODING NON-RESPONSE

Each interviewer was responsible to code each outcome using a route sheet. These records them were summarised in Outcome Rate Calculator and used to calculate response rates. See AM_Response-Rate-Calculator.xlsx for details.

QUALITY CONTROL

FIELDWORK CONTROL

The main purpose of the fieldwork quality control was to check the following items:

- Fact that the interview took place;
- Proper application of the sampling plan (step, respondent selection, etc.);
- Interview technique;
- The proper administration of the various sections of the questionnaire;
- Interviewer's general adherence to professional standards.

Various quality control approaches were used to check the quality of the fieldwork. Specifically, accompanied interviews/visits, telephone calls and listening to the audio recordings of interview were performed. In some cases, these methods were used in combination with each other.

34% of interviews were controlled by either means of accompanied interview, control visit or control telephone call and 30% of interviews were controlled by listening to the audio-

recordings. In total, at least 34% of each interviewer's performance was checked with one or more QC methods mentioned above.

Based on quality check results, 32 interviews have been disqualified by QC team. The replacement interviews were conducted by the same interviewers who received additional training.

DATA CONTROL

The data was subject to logical controls at the stage of questionnaire scripting and then raw data processing.

Data quality control was arranged by the following actions:

1. All outputs – questionnaires, audio recordings and routing files were uploaded at the end of each day,
2. All questionnaires underwent logical control and coding. If any inconsistencies were discovered during logical control at any stage, data controllers informed fieldwork manager who re-contacted the respondent for additional checks
3. The existence and correct age of the respondents was checked via the RA voters register, in case of inconsistency data controllers made call-back for the cross-check.

DATA PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS

The data set was prepared in SPSS. In order to ensure strict adherence to the requested framework, an executive of R-Research consulted with Friedrich Ebert Stiftung (FES) prior to any data entry to finalise the data processing specification, including labelling of all questions and pre-coded responses.

WEIGHTING

The final data set was weighted by age-gender, settlement type and macro/region as follows. See additional information in file: Youth Study_AM_data_weights_300822.xlsx

QUESTIONNAIRE

CHECKING FOR SENSITIVE ISSUES, TRANSLATION AND BACK-TRANSLATION

In collaboration with Friedrich Ebert Stiftung (FES), R-Research checked the English version on the questionnaire for sensitive issues.

The questionnaire was translated from English into the vernacular in the country and the vernacular version was checked by Friedrich Ebert Stiftung (FES). The pre-test vernacular version of the questionnaire was back-translated in English by an agency that was not involved into the drafting the questionnaire in English and translating it into the vernacular. The changes to the

questionnaire incorporated after the tests were back-translated into English by an executive from R-Research.

PRE-TEST OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE

The questionnaire was pre-tested (30 pre-test interviews) under remote supervisions of R-Research. The pre-tests were carried out using random selection of respondents in three locations, as follows:

Table 9. Pre-test sample distribution

Sex-Age group	Location			Total
	Urban large Yerevan	Urban medium Hrazdan/Gyumri	Rural Nor Kesarya	
M14-17	3	3	3	9
F14-17	2	2	2	6
M18-29	2	2	2	6
F18-29	3	3	3	9
Total	10	10	10	30

Following pre-tests, final revision of the questionnaire was done and then the final version of scripted questionnaire for CAPI in English and Armenian was produced.

THE FINAL FIELDWORK VERSION OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE

The Final Fieldwork version of the scripted questionnaire in English was checked and approved by Friedrich Ebert Stiftung (FES).

ACHIEVED SAMPLE

The following quota sample distribution was achieved:

Table 10. Target and achieved quota sample distribution.

Macro-Region	Urban/Rural	Sex*Age	Target (N)	Completed (N)	Completed (%)
Yerevan	Urban	M14-17	49	51	104%
Yerevan	Urban	M18-24	79	80	101%
Yerevan	Urban	M25-29	69	63	91%
Yerevan	Urban	F14-17	44	44	100%
Yerevan	Urban	F18-24	71	76	107%
Yerevan	Urban	F25-29	78	76	97%
Yerevan	Rural	M14-17			
Yerevan	Rural	M18-24			
Yerevan	Rural	M25-29			
Yerevan	Rural	F14-17			
Yerevan	Rural	F18-24			
Yerevan	Rural	F25-29			
Western	Urban	M14-17	8	7	88%
Western	Urban	M18-24	11	11	100%
Western	Urban	M25-29	11	11	100%
Western	Urban	F14-17	7	7	100%
Western	Urban	F18-24	11	12	109%
Western	Urban	F25-29	12	12	100%
Western	Rural	M14-17	6	7	117%
Western	Rural	M18-24	8	8	100%
Western	Rural	M25-29	8	6	75%
Western	Rural	F14-17	5	4	80%
Western	Rural	F18-24	8	8	100%
Western	Rural	F25-29	10	12	120%
Central	Urban	M14-17	20	20	100%
Central	Urban	M18-24	26	26	100%
Central	Urban	M25-29	21	21	100%
Central	Urban	F14-17	18	17	94%
Central	Urban	F18-24	26	27	104%
Central	Urban	F25-29	24	24	100%
Central	Rural	M14-17	32	32	100%
Central	Rural	M18-24	52	54	104%
Central	Rural	M25-29	48	46	96%
Central	Rural	F14-17	27	27	100%
Central	Rural	F18-24	46	48	104%
Central	Rural	F25-29	50	48	96%
Eastern	Urban	M14-17	4	4	100%
Eastern	Urban	M18-24	6	7	117%
Eastern	Urban	M25-29	5	4	80%
Eastern	Urban	F14-17	4	4	100%
Eastern	Urban	F18-24	6	6	100%
Eastern	Urban	F25-29	5	5	100%
Eastern	Rural	M14-17	10	10	100%
Eastern	Rural	M18-24	15	15	100%
Eastern	Rural	M25-29	14	14	100%
Eastern	Rural	F14-17	8	8	100%
Eastern	Rural	F18-24	14	15	107%

Eastern	Rural	F25-29	14	14	100%
Northern	Urban	M14-17	9	10	111%
Northern	Urban	M18-24	12	11	92%
Northern	Urban	M25-29	9	9	100%
Northern	Urban	F14-17	8	8	100%
Northern	Urban	F18-24	12	11	92%
Northern	Urban	F25-29	10	11	110%
Northern	Rural	M14-17	10	10	100%
Northern	Rural	M18-24	15	15	100%
Northern	Rural	M25-29	12	11	92%
Northern	Rural	F14-17	10	8	80%
Northern	Rural	F18-24	15	18	120%
Northern	Rural	F25-29	13	13	100%
Southern	Urban	M14-17	6	6	100%
Southern	Urban	M18-24	9	9	100%
Southern	Urban	M25-29	7	8	114%
Southern	Urban	F14-17	6	6	100%
Southern	Urban	F18-24	9	9	100%
Southern	Urban	F25-29	8	7	88%
Southern	Rural	M14-17	4	4	100%
Southern	Rural	M18-24	6	6	100%
Southern	Rural	M25-29	5	5	100%
Southern	Rural	F14-17	4	4	100%
Southern	Rural	F18-24	6	6	100%
Southern	Rural	F25-29	5	4	80%

STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES

STRENGTHS

The strengths of the survey were a complex and thorough sample design and execution, and quality fieldwork and data control.

WEAKNESSES

The unwillingness of potential respondents to cooperate and a large number of locked premises had prolonged the fieldwork and data collection processes and resulted in relatively low response and cooperation rates.

Table 11. Contact outcomes.

Category	Rate
Response Rate 1 (RR1 is the minimum response rate)	31%
Cooperation Rate 1 (COOP1) is the minimum cooperation rate)	45%
Contact Rate 1 (Contact Rate 1 assumes that all cases of indeterminate eligibility are actually eligible)	68%
Refusal Rate 1 (Refusal Rate 1 is the number of refusals divided by the interviews (completes and partial) plus the non-respondents plus the cases of unknown eligibility)	56%

COVID-19 EFFECT

During the fieldwork, the Ministry of Health of RA had issued no instructions regarding restrictions related to COVID-19 pandemic. Nevertheless, interviewers had full access to personal protective equipment and precaution had been followed.

FIELDWORK CHARACTERISTICS

FIELD-FORCE


The actual fieldwork was conducted by R-insights headquartered in Yerevan. Three supervisors directly supervised 40 interviewers on this project. All 40 interviewers were experienced interviewers and they were trained thoroughly before the start of the project. Three supervisors had at least three years of experience in that capacity. The fieldwork coordinators supervised the process of interviews and accomplish the interviewers as well.

INTERVIEW LENGTH

On average, the completed interviews took about 43 minutes with standard deviation of 7.4 minutes. The shortest interview lasted about 30 minutes and the longest one did about 67 minutes. The following table lists basic statistics for the duration of the interview:

Table 12. Interview length (minutes).

Mean		42.7
Median		41.19
Mode		38.42
Std. Deviation		7.4
Minimum		30.24
Maximum		67.38
Percentiles	25	37.50
	50	46.00
	75	54.50



FIELDWORK DETAILS:

NATIONAL SAMPLE

Fielding dates	19.06-16.08.2022		
Number of urban PSUs	48		
Number of rural PSUs	32		
Total number of PSUs	80		
Total number of interviewers	40		
Interviewer work load (completed interviews)	Max	Min	Mean
	145	1	30
Total number of supervisors	3		
Supervisors work load	Max	Min	Mean
(Number of completed interviews supervised)	74	22	47

YEREVAN

Fielding dates	23.06-16.08.2022		
Number of urban PSUs	26		
Number of rural PSUs	0		
Total number of PSUs	26		
Total number of interviewers	21		
Interviewer work load (completed interviews)	Max	Min	Mean
	86	1	18
Total number of supervisors	3		
Supervisors work load	Max	Min	Mean
(Number of completed interviews supervised)	59	1	25

WESTERN

Fielding dates	02.07-21.07.2022		
Number of urban PSUs	4		
Number of rural PSUs	3		
Total number of PSUs	7		
Total number of interviewers	11		
Interviewer work load (completed interviews)	Max	Min	Mean
	23	1	10
Total number of supervisors	3		
Supervisors work load	Max	Min	Mean
(Number of completed interviews supervised)	6	0	2

CENTRAL

Fielding dates	21.06-13.08.2022		
Number of urban PSUs	9		
Number of rural PSUs	17		
Total number of PSUs	26		

Total number of interviewers	12		
Interviewer work load (completed interviews)	Max	Min	Mean
	143	2	25
Total number of supervisors	3		
Supervisors work load (Number of completed interviews supervised)	Max	Min	Mean
	15	10	13

EASTERN

Fielding dates	19.06-08.07.2022		
Number of urban PSUs	2		
Number of rural PSUs	5		
Total number of PSUs	7		
Total number of interviewers	3		
Interviewer work load (completed interviews)	Max	Min	Mean
	16	2	8
Total number of supervisors	3		
Supervisors work load (Number of completed interviews supervised)	Max	Min	Mean
	3	0	2

NORTHERN

Fielding dates	20.06-12.07.2022		
Number of urban PSUs	4		
Number of rural PSUs	5		
Total number of PSUs	9		
Total number of interviewers	6		
Interviewer work load (completed interviews)	Max	Min	Mean
	78	3	18
Total number of supervisors	3		
Supervisors work load (Number of completed interviews supervised)	Max	Min	Mean
	6	0	3

SOUTHERN

Fielding dates	22.06-23.07.2022		
Number of urban PSUs	3		
Number of rural PSUs	2		
Total number of PSUs	5		
Total number of interviewers	8		
Interviewer work load (completed interviews)	Max	Min	Mean
	15	4	9
Total number of supervisors	3		
Supervisors work load (Number of completed interviews supervised)	Max	Min	Mean
	4	0	2